

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7

8 IAN A. WOODS,

9 Petitioner,

2:14-cv-01982-JAD-NJK

10 vs.

ORDER

11 BRIAN WILLIAMS, SR., *et al.*,

12 Respondents.
13 _____/

14
15 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents were due to respond to the *pro se* habeas corpus
16 petition by August 3, 2015. *See* Order entered June 19, 2015 (Doc. 4). On August 3, 2015,
17 respondents filed a motion for extension of time (Doc. 7) requesting a 60-day extension of their
18 response deadline. The court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and
19 not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

20 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that respondents' Motion for Extension of Time (Doc. 7)
21 is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall have until and including **October 2, 2015**, to answer or
22 otherwise respond to the habeas corpus petition in this action.

23 Dated this 4th day of August, 2015.
24

25 
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE